

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/733,926	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven P. Sax	2174	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Kenneth Fields. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Steven Sax. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: agreement that the phrase "cutting the display image out of the display screen outputted by said output section" is vague and indefinite, and that applicant will be advised to amend or remove the phrase. Also, other proposed features to be added to the claims were discussed including the details of the navigation, and the command being mapped to the captured image.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven P Sax/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174	
---	--